7.	Madhya Pradesh	40
8.	Maharashtra	2
9.	North Eastern	24
10.	North Western	34
11.	Orissa	2
12.	Rajasthan	15
13.	Tamil Nadu	63
	Total:	567

Manufacture of Vitamin 'A' by M|s Roche

975. DR. BAPU KALDATE; WiU the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Gov ernment have permitted M|s. Roche to manufacture Vitamin 'A' from a drug intermediate ignoring its pro duction from basic drug;

(b) if so, whether such permission has been given to other drug manufacturers; and

(c) what is the quantity of Vitamin *A* manufactured by M/s. Roche in the years 1934, 1985 and till March, 1986?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALM); (a) No, sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) To the extent information is availab'e, production of Vitamin 'A' by Mjs. Roche during the period 1983-84, 1984-85 and 1935-86 (up to March 1986) has been 40.73 MMU, 37.60 MMU and 29.33 MMU respectively.

Manufacture of Rafampicin

976. Drt. BAPU KALDATE; Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state-

(a) whether Government hav$_e$ issued orden« regarding the production of Rafampictn, an anti T.B. drug from an intermediate stage in the small sector;

(b) whether Government are aware of its non-implementation;

(c) whether it is a fact that the bulk drug manufacturers have manufac tured large quantities of this drug in violation of the Government instruc tions; and

(d) if so, what action has been taken against such manufacturers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM?: (a) ff5;"Sir.. Small Scale Units are not required to obtain any approval unde$_r$ the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

New Telephone Connections in Delhi/ New Delhi

977. DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI; Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state;

(d) whether it is a fact that a number of persons in Delhi and New Delhi have deposited money for the installation of te^phones at their residences but no telephones have yet been installed;

(b) if so, what is the number of such applications which are at present pending and the year in which received;

(c) what are the reasons for this delay and in how many locahties of Delhi and New Delhi, new connections cannot be given; and

(d) has the money been refunded to the applicants-, if not what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI RAM NIWAS MIRDHA); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) No separate record of applications for installation of telephone